

Clinical Study Summary (CSS)

CT Registry ID#: *NCT00243217 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier number)* Study No.: SP709 These results are supplied for informational purposes only. Prescribing decisions should be made based on the approved package insert. **Proprietary Drug Name** INN Therapeutic area and indication(s) Neupro® transdermal patch Rotigotine Restless legs syndrome Name of Sponsor/Company: SCHWARZ BIOSCIENCES, GmbH. A member of the UCB group Title of Study: Multi-center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, six-arm, parallel-group, dose-finding trial to determine efficacy, safety and tolerability of five different transdermal doses of rotigotine in subjects with idiopathic restless legs syndrome Investigator(s) (number only): 35 35 Study Center(s) (number only): Length of Study: ~11 weeks Phase of Development: 2 Date first patient enrolled: 30 Apr 2003 Date last patient completed: 27 Feb 2004 Abstract: This trial was a multi-center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, six-arm, parallel-group, dose-

I his trial was a multi-center, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, six-arm, parallel-group, dosefinding trial to determine efficacy, safety, and tolerability of five different transdermal doses of rotigotine in subjects with idiopathic restless legs syndrome (RLS). Subjects were eligible if they were between 18 and 75 years of age; met the diagnosis of idiopathic RLS based on the following four essential clinical features according to the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group; had no previous treatment for RLS (de novo subjects or intermittently untreated subjects) or subject was not well controlled with current or previous therapy; responded previously, according to medical history information, to L-dopa therapy and/or treatment with a dopamine agonist (if pretreated); had a body mass index (BMI) between 18 and 35kg/m²; had a minimum sum score \geq 15 on the International Restless Legs Scale (IRLS) at Baseline.

The primary efficacy variable was absolute change in the IRLS sum score from Baseline to the end of the Maintenance Period. Safety was assessed by the following: adverse events (AEs), clinical laboratory parameters, vital signs including orthostatic assessment, 12-lead ECG data, physical and neurological examination, subjects' ratings of daytime sleepiness using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), and global rating of tolerability by the investigator (CGI Item 4) and subject. Individual plasma concentrations were measured at the end of the Titration Period, and at the end of the Maintenance Period. The plasma concentration response relationship was determined as a pharmacodynamic measurement.

Rotigotine doses included were 0.5mg, 1mg, 2mg, 3mg, and 4mg/24h. Trial periods consisted of a Run-In Period (Wash-out Period) of 1 week, a Titration Period of up to 2 weeks (for subjects receiving 3mg or 4mg/24h only), a Maintenance Period of 4 weeks, a Taper Period of up to 7 days, and a 2-week Safety Follow-Up Period. The total trial duration was up to 79 days. Subjects who completed the Maintenance Period were offered the opportunity to enroll in an open-label extension trial.

Number of Patients:	Placebo		All				
		0.5	1	2	3	4	Subjects
Planned, N:	50	50	50	50	50	50	300
Randomized, N:	55	52	64	49	65	56	341
Completed, n (%):	47	47	59	48	58	51	310



Study No.: SP709		.807 10000	<i></i>				
	(85.5)	(90.4)	(92.2)	(98.0)	(89.2)	(91.1)	(90.9)
Number of Patients Withdrawn, n (%):	8 (14.5)	5 (9.6)	5 (7.8)	1 (2.0)	7 (10.8)	5 (8.9)	31 (9.1)
Withdrawn due to Adverse Events,	2 (3.6)	$1(1.9)^{a}$	3 (4.7)	0	6 (9.2)	3 (5.4)	15 (4.4)
n (%):							
Withdrawn for Other Reasons, n (%):	6 (10.9)	4 (7.7)	2 (3.1)	1 (2.0)	1 (1.5)	2 (3.6)	16 (4.7)
Demography:	Placebo		All				
		0.5	1	2	3	4	Subjects
		0.5	1	4	5		Subjects
Gender (Females/Males):	34/21	38/13	44/20	28/21	48/17	37/19	229/111
Gender (Females/Males): Age (years), mean(SD):	34/21 58.5		44/20 57.3	-	•	-	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		38/13	= .	28/21	48/17	37/19	229/111
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	58.5	38/13 59.2	57.3	28/21 58.4	48/17 57.6	37/19 60.1	229/111 58.4
Age (years), mean(SD):	58.5	38/13 59.2	57.3	28/21 58.4 (10.57)	48/17 57.6	37/19 60.1	229/111 58.4 (10.23)
Age (years), mean(SD): Race, n (%):	58.5 (11.24)	38/13 59.2 (9.90)	57.3 (10.65)	28/21 58.4 (10.57)	48/17 57.6 (10.51)	37/19 60.1 (8.43)	229/111 58.4 (10.23)

a. subject was randomized but did not receive trial medication

CT Registry ID#: NCT00243217 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier number)

Safety Outcomes:

- Summary of treatment emergent adverse events, deaths, other serious adverse events and certain other significant adverse events:

Rotigotine was well tolerated in this trial. Overall, 46% of subjects in the placebo group and 62% of subjects in a rotigotine treatment group reported 1 or more AEs during the treatment period. Most AEs were consistent with stimulation of dopamine receptors and the use of a transdermal patch. During the treatment period, the most common AEs (incidence \geq 5% in a treatment group) were nausea, application site erythema, nasopharyngitis, headache, fatigue, and application site reaction. The majority of AEs were mild or moderate in intensity. There were 8 SAEs reported by 6 subjects during the trial. All SAEs were judged by the investigator to be unlikely or not related to trial medication, with the exception of 1 event (electrocardiogram OT corrected interval prolonged) in a subject treated with rotigotine 3mg/24h. Overall, 3 placebo-treated subjects, and 12 rotigotine-treated subjects had a TEAE leading to discontinuation of trial medication. The most common AE that led to discontinuation was nausea. No subject experienced an AE of special interest (severe application site reaction, severe cardiac arrhythmia, sleep attack) during the trial. Overall, there was no evidence for an association between rotigotine treatment and QTc prolongation at doses up to 4mg/24h. No clinically relevant changes in vital signs (including orthostatic assessment), clinical chemistry, hematology, endocrine parameters, or urinalysis, or physical or neurological examination were observed. Rotigotine did not have a sedative effect based on results from the ESS. There were no mean increases in ESS scores between baseline and the end of the Maintenance Period in any treatment group.

Treatment	Placebo	Placebo Rotigotine (mg/24h)							
Emergent AEs		0.5	1	2	3	4	Rotigotine		
(TEAE):	(N=55)	(N=51)	(N=64)	(N=49)	(N=65)	(N=56)	(N=285)		
Patients with $\geq 5\%$			•	•	• •	•			
TEAEs, n(%):(by									
Preferred term)									
Any system organ	25 (46)	33 (65)	31 (48)	28 (57)	49 (75)	36 (64)	177 (62.1)		
class									
Nausea	5 (9)	3 (6)	6 (9)	3 (6)	16 (25)	13 (23)	41 (14.4)		
Application site	0	1 (2)	6 (10)	7 (14)	7 (11)	6 (11)			
erythema									
Nasopharyngitis	5 (9)	4 (8)	1 (2)	7 (14)	4 (6)	5 (9)	21 (7.4)		
Headache	4 (7)	6 (12)	5 (8)	1 (2)	3 (5)	7 (13)	22 (7.7)		
Fatigue	5 (9)	2 (4)	3 (5)	3 (6)	7 (11)	4 (7)	19 (6.7)		



Copyright © 2006 UCB, Inc. All rights reserved. Approved by UCB 02-Jun-2008

Study No.: SP709 Application site	0	3 (6)	2 (3)	2 (4)	4 (6)	6 (11)	17 (6.0)
reaction	0	5 (0)	2 (3)	2 (4)	4 (0)	0(11)	17 (0.0)
Drug-related TEAEs	Placebo	Rotigotine (mg/24h)					Total
(as determined by		0.5	1	2	3	4	Rotigotine
the investigator):	(N=55)	(N=51)	(N=64)	(N=49)	(N=65)	(N=56)	(N=285)
Patients with $\geq 5\%$							
drug-related TEAEs,							
n(%):(by Preferred							
term)					1		1
Nausea	5 (9.1)	3 (5.9)	6 (9.4)	3 (6.1)	14 (21.5)	13 (23.2)	39 (13.7)
Application site	0	1 (2.0)	6 (9.4)	7 (14.3)	6 (9.2)	6 (10.7)	26 (9.1)
erythema							
Application site	0	1 (2.0)	1 (1.6)	2 (4.1)	0	3 (5.4)	7 (2.5)
pruritus							
Fatigue	4 (7.3)	2 (3.9)	3 (4.7)	3 (6.1)	7 (10.8)	4 (7.1)	19 (6.7)
Headache	4 (7.3)	2 (3.9)	2 (3.1)	0	2 (3.1)	5 (8.9)	11 (3.9)
Dizziness	4 (7.3)	1 (2.0)	1 (1.6)	3 (6.1)	4 (6.2)	3 (5.4)	12 (4.2)
Pruritus	1 (1.8)	3 (5.9)	2 (3.1)	0	6 (9.2)	1 (1.8)	12 (4.2)
Death, SAEs, and	Placebo		Roti	gotine (mg/	24h)		Total
Other SAEs :		0.5	1	2	3	4	Rotigotine
	(N=55)	(N=51)	(N=64)	(N=49)	(N=65)	(N=56)	(N=285)
Death, n (%):	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Patients with SAEs,	1 (1.8)	1 (2.0)	1 (1.6)	0	3 (4.6)	0	5 (1.8)
n (%):							
Patients with SAEs		n (%) [e	vents conside	red drug-rel	ated by the In	vestigator]	
(by Preferred term)							
Sympathectomy	1 (1.8)	0	0	0	0	0	0
	[0]						
Peripheral occlusive	0	1 (2.0) [0]	0	0	0	0	1 (0.4) [0]
disease							
Radius fracture	0	0	1 (1.6) [0]	0	0	0	1 (0.4) [0]
Fall	0	0	1 (1.6) [0]	0	0	0	1 (0.4) [0]
Intervertebral disc	0	0	0	0	1 (1.5) [0]	0	1 (0.4) [0]
protrusion							
	0	0	0	0	1 (1.5) [0]	0	1 (0.4) [0]
Constipation		0	0	0	1 (1.5) [0]	0	1 (0.4) [0]
Cholecystitis	0						
Constipation Cholecystitis Electrocardiogram	0	0	0	0	1 (1.5) [1]	0	1 (0.4) [1]
Cholecystitis	-			0	1 (1.5) [1]	0	1 (0.4) [1]

Primary & Secondary Outcomes:

Superiority over placebo at rotigotine doses ranging from 1 to 4mg/24h was demonstrated for the primary efficacy variable (mean change from baseline in IRLS sum score at the end of the Maintenance Period); improvements in the IRLS sum score were clinically relevant and statistically significant. Improvements in the severity of RLS symptoms were demonstrated in the Clinical Global Impressions (CGI) Item 1 score results.

Pharmacokinetic: There was a dose proportional relationship between the measured rotigotine plasma levels



CT Registry ID#: NCT00243217 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier number)

Study No.: SP709

within the dose range of 0.5 to 4mg/24h. There were no consistent differences between males and females. The mean rotigotine plasma concentrations were similar between the age groups. An analysis of IRLS sum score plasma concentration response relationship indicated a relationship between rotigotine plasma levels and IRLS sum score.

Publication Reference(s) based on the study:

Oertel WH, Benes H, Garcia-Borreguero D, Geisler P, Hogl B, Saletu B et al. Efficacy of rotigotine transdermal system in severe restless legs syndrome: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, six-week dose-finding trial in Europe. Sleep Med. 2008; 9(3):228-239.

Date of report: 17-Mar-2006