

Clinical Study Summary

	523			
Study No.: A00415				
These results are supplied for	br informational purpos			should be made
	based on the approve	ей раскауе п	sen.	
Based on Clinical Study Repo	rt document reference	e code: RRCE	07A0404	
Proprietary Drug Name	INN	Therapeutic area and indication(s)		
Xyzal [®] Tablet	Levocetirizine	Seasonal A	Ilergic Rhinitis in	
	dihydrochloride	sensitive s	ubjects	-
Name of Sponsor/Company				
Title of Study: Double-blind,				
rial to compare the efficacy o				
reducing symptoms of seasor		igweed sensit	ive subjects expo	sed to ragweed
pollen in an environmental ex				
Investigator(s) (number only Study Center(s) (number on				
Length of Study:	i y). I			
Date first patient enrolled:	15-Jul-2006	Phase of [Nevelonment : Ph	اا معد
Date last patient completed:	23-Oct-2006	Phase of Development: Phase III (therapeutic confirmatory study)		
0 mg as measured by the me	ean change from Base	eline in the Ma	ajor Symptom Cor	
10 mg as measured by the me score) over Period I (Day 1, fr The Study consisted of three p Phase III (Randomization). D pollen in the environmental ex eligible subjects were random (concentration 3500 ± 500 gra The subjects were male or fer allergic rhinitis due to ragweed seasons); a documented seas the negative control) performe and a Total Symptom Comple	ean change from Base om drug intake to five phases: Phase I (Scre uring Phase II unmed posure unit was asse ized to the double-blir ains/m ³) for seven hou nale adults, <i>i.e.</i> aged d for the last two cons conal allergy to ragwe ad at the Screening Vi x Score ≥ 18 points of posure and over the c	eline in the Ma hours post-tra- eening visit); P icated respon- ssed by the el nd treatment a rs on Day 1 a ≥ 18 years wit ecutive years ed pollen (pos sit or within 12 ver the combin	ajor Symptom Cor eatment). Thase II (Priming ease after exposure ligibility criteria. If and exposed to por nd six hours on D h a clinical history (<i>i.e.</i> last two ragwi itive skin test \geq 3 2 months of the Se ned three half-hou	mplex (MSC exposure) and to ragweed During Phase III ollen y ay 2. y of seasonal weed pollen mm larger than creening Visit; urly post-pollen
10 mg as measured by the me score) over Period I (Day 1, fr The Study consisted of three p Phase III (Randomization). D pollen in the environmental ex eligible subjects were random (concentration 3500 ± 500 gra The subjects were male or fer allergic rhinitis due to ragweed seasons); a documented seas the negative control) performe and a Total Symptom Complete evaluations during priming exp evaluations during the pollen of The subjects were given levoor	ean change from Base om drug intake to five phases: Phase I (Scre uring Phase II unmed posure unit was asse ized to the double-blir ains/m ³) for seven hou nale adults, <i>i.e.</i> aged d for the last two cons conal allergy to ragwe ad at the Screening Vi x Score ≥ 18 points of posure and over the c challenge. cetirizine 5 mg, monte	eline in the Ma hours post-tra- teening visit); P icated respon- ssed by the el nd treatment a rs on Day 1 a ≥ 18 years wit ecutive years ed pollen (pos sit or within 12 ver the combined threat ombined threat	ajor Symptom Cor eatment). Thase II (Priming ease after exposure igibility criteria. If and exposed to por nd six hours on D h a clinical history (<i>i.e.</i> last two ragw itive skin test \geq 3 2 months of the Se ned three half-hour e half-hourly pre-t	mplex (MSC exposure) and to ragweed During Phase III ollen y of seasonal veed pollen mm larger than creening Visit; urly post-pollen reatment y 1 and Day 2 o
10 mg as measured by the me score) over Period I (Day 1, fr The Study consisted of three Phase III (Randomization). D collen in the environmental ex- eligible subjects were random (concentration 3500 ± 500 gra The subjects were male or fer allergic rhinitis due to ragwee seasons); a documented sease the negative control) performe and a Total Symptom Comple evaluations during priming ex- evaluations during the pollen The subjects were given levoor the pollen challenge, 2 hours The primary efficacy variable intention-to-Treat (ITT) popula- the difference in Least Square Safety assessments included	ean change from Base om drug intake to five phases: Phase I (Scre uring Phase II unmed posure unit was asse ized to the double-blir ains/m ³) for seven hou nale adults, <i>i.e.</i> aged d for the last two cons conal allergy to ragwe ed at the Screening Vi ex Score \geq 18 points of posure and over the c challenge. cetirizine 5 mg, monte and 1.5 hours, respect was analyzed using a fation. The pair-wise tr e means together with	eline in the Ma hours post-tre eening visit); P icated respon- ssed by the el ad treatment a rs on Day 1 a ≥ 18 years wit ecutive years ed pollen (pos sit or within 12 ver the combin ombined three lukast 10 mg tively, after th n analysis of c eatment group 95% Confide	ajor Symptom Cor eatment). Thase II (Priming ease after exposure ligibility criteria. If nd exposed to poon nd six hours on D h a clinical history (<i>i.e.</i> last two ragw itive skin test \geq 3 2 months of the So ned three half-hou e half-hourly pre-t pr placebo on Day e start of exposur covariance (ANCC p differences were nee Interval (CI).	mplex (MSC exposure) and to ragweed During Phase III ollen yay 2. y of seasonal weed pollen mm larger than creening Visit; urly post-pollen reatment y 1 and Day 2 o re. DVA) on the e estimated by
10 mg as measured by the me score) over Period I (Day 1, fr The Study consisted of three p Phase III (Randomization). D collen in the environmental ex- eligible subjects were random (concentration 3500 ± 500 gra The subjects were male or fer allergic rhinitis due to ragwee seasons); a documented sease the negative control) performe and a Total Symptom Comple evaluations during priming ex- evaluations during the pollen of the subjects were given levol the pollen challenge, 2 hours The primary efficacy variable intention-to-Treat (ITT) popula- the difference in Least Square Safety assessments included population.	ean change from Base om drug intake to five phases: Phase I (Scre uring Phase II unmed posure unit was asse ized to the double-blir ains/m ³) for seven hou nale adults, <i>i.e.</i> aged d for the last two cons conal allergy to ragwe ed at the Screening Vi ex Score \geq 18 points of posure and over the c challenge. cetirizine 5 mg, monte and 1.5 hours, respect was analyzed using a fation. The pair-wise tr e means together with	eline in the Ma hours post-tre eening visit); P icated respon- ssed by the el ad treatment a rs on Day 1 a ≥ 18 years wit ecutive years ed pollen (pos sit or within 12 ver the combin ombined three lukast 10 mg tively, after th n analysis of c eatment group 95% Confide	ajor Symptom Cor eatment). Thase II (Priming ease after exposure ligibility criteria. If nd exposed to poon nd six hours on D h a clinical history (<i>i.e.</i> last two ragw itive skin test \geq 3 2 months of the So ned three half-hou e half-hourly pre-t pr placebo on Day e start of exposur covariance (ANCC p differences were nee Interval (CI).	mplex (MSC exposure) and to ragweed During Phase III ollen yay 2. y of seasonal weed pollen mm larger than creening Visit; urly post-pollen reatment y 1 and Day 2 o re. DVA) on the e estimated by
The primary study objective w 10 mg as measured by the me score) over Period I (Day 1, fr The Study consisted of three p Phase III (Randomization). D pollen in the environmental ex- eligible subjects were random (concentration 3500 ± 500 gra The subjects were male or fer allergic rhinitis due to ragweed seasons); a documented seas the negative control) performe and a Total Symptom Comple evaluations during priming ex- evaluations during the pollen of the subjects were given levoor the pollen challenge, 2 hours The primary efficacy variable Intention-to-Treat (ITT) popula the difference in Least Square Safety assessments included population. Number of Subjects: Planned, N:	ean change from Base om drug intake to five phases: Phase I (Scre uring Phase II unmed posure unit was asse ized to the double-blir ains/m ³) for seven hou nale adults, <i>i.e.</i> aged d for the last two cons conal allergy to ragwe ed at the Screening Vi ex Score \geq 18 points of posure and over the c challenge. cetirizine 5 mg, monte and 1.5 hours, respect was analyzed using a fation. The pair-wise tr e means together with	eline in the Ma hours post-tre rening visit); P icated respon- ssed by the el ad treatment a rs on Day 1 a ≥ 18 years wit ecutive years ed pollen (pos sit or within 12 ver the combin ombined three lukast 10 mg of tively, after the n analysis of c eatment group 95% Confiders, adverse ever	ajor Symptom Cor eatment). Thase II (Priming ease after exposure ligibility criteria. In and exposed to poind six hours on D h a clinical history (<i>i.e.</i> last two ragw itive skin test \geq 3 2 months of the So and three half-hour e half-hourly pre-t or placebo on Day e start of exposur covariance (ANCC p differences were nee Interval (CI).	mplex (MSC exposure) and to ragweed During Phase III ollen yay 2. y of seasonal weed pollen mm larger than creening Visit; urly post-pollen reatment y 1 and Day 2 o e. DVA) on the e estimated by is on the ITT
10 mg as measured by the me score) over Period I (Day 1, fr The Study consisted of three p Phase III (Randomization). D pollen in the environmental ex- eligible subjects were random (concentration 3500 ± 500 gra The subjects were male or fer allergic rhinitis due to ragweed seasons); a documented seas the negative control) performe and a Total Symptom Comple evaluations during the pollen of the subjects were given levoor the pollen challenge, 2 hours The primary efficacy variable Intention-to-Treat (ITT) popula the difference in Least Square Safety assessments included population. Number of Subjects:	ean change from Base om drug intake to five phases: Phase I (Scre uring Phase II unmed posure unit was asse ized to the double-blir ains/m ³) for seven hou nale adults, <i>i.e.</i> aged d for the last two cons conal allergy to ragwe ed at the Screening Vi ex Score \geq 18 points of posure and over the c challenge. cetirizine 5 mg, monte and 1.5 hours, respect was analyzed using a fation. The pair-wise tr e means together with	eline in the Ma hours post-tro rening visit); P icated respon- ssed by the el ad treatment a rs on Day 1 a ≥ 18 years wit ecutive years ed pollen (pos sit or within 12 ver the combin ombined three lukast 10 mg of tively, after the n analysis of c eatment group 95% Confide s, adverse ever	ajor Symptom Cor eatment). Thase II (Priming ease se after exposure ligibility criteria. In and exposed to por nd six hours on D h a clinical history (<i>i.e.</i> last two ragw itive skin test ≥ 3 2 months of the So ned three half-hour e half-hourly pre-t or placebo on Day e start of exposur covariance (ANCC p differences were nee Interval (CI). ents and vital sign	mplex (MSC exposure) and to ragweed During Phase III ollen yay 2. y of seasonal weed pollen mm larger than creening Visit; urly post-pollen reatment y 1 and Day 2 o e. DVA) on the e estimated by is on the ITT PBO



CT Registry ID#: NCT00315523

Study No.: A00415			
Number of Subjects Withdrawn, n (%):	1 (0.7%)	4 (2.7 %)	2 (2.0%)
Adverse event, n (%):	0 (0.0%)	3 (2.0%) ^(a)	1 (1.0%)
Other reasons, n (%):	1 (0.7%) ^(b)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.0%) ^(c)
Withdrawal of consent, n (%):	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)

^(a) Reason: One was a pre-treatment AE

^(b) Reason: "Non compliance (did not meet inclusion criteria #8)"

^(c) Reason: "Motor vehicle accident on patient's way in)"

LCTZ	MLKT	PBO
87/65	86/63	62/40
38.58 (12.70)	34.63 (11.71)	38.24 (14.00)
83 (54.6%)	78 (52.3%)	48 (47.1%)
	38.58 (12.70)	38.58 (12.70) 34.63 (11.71)

Safety Outcomes:

Summary of treatment emergent adverse events, deaths, other serious adverse events and certain other significant adverse events:

Sixty-three subjects had treatment emergent AEs (TEAEs), among them 24 were in the levocetirizine 5 mg group. In this group, 9.2% of subjects presented TEAEs that were considered related to study medication (compared to 10.8% in the placebo group, and 8.1% in the montelukast 10 mg group). No subject in the levocetirizine 5 mg group reported a TEAE leading to permanent study drug discontinuation (one subject in the placebo group and one in the montelukast 10 mg group). The most frequent AEs that occurred during treatment were 'Headache' and 'Abdominal pain', followed by 'Fatigue'. Neither death nor serious adverse events occurred throughout the study. No clinically relevant changes in vital signs were noted.

Treatment Emergent Adverse Events	LCTZ	MLKT	PBO
(ITT population):	(N = 152)	(N = 149)	(N = 102)
Subjects with at least one TEAE, n (%):	24 (15.8%)	19 (12.8%)	20 (19.6%)
Subjects with a TEAE that led to permanent	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	1 (1.0%)
study drug discontinuation, n (%):			
Subjects with TEAEs, n (%) [considered drug-	14 (9.2%)	12 (8.1%)]	11 (10.8%)
related by the Investigator]:			
(by Primary System Organ Class)			
Ear and labyrinth disorders, n (%):	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Gastrointestinal Disorders, n (%):	2 (1.3%)	3 (2.0%)	4 (3.9%)
General Disorders and Administration Site	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.0%)
Conditions, n (%):			
Infections and infestations, n (%):	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Nervous System Disorders, n (%):	9 (5.9%)	5 (3.4%)	6 (5.9%)
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
disorders n (%):	. ,		
Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue Disorders,	2 (1.3%)	4 (2.7%)	1 (1.0%)
n (%):	. ,		
Vascular disorders n (%):	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.0%)
Primary Outcome:	· /	· · · /	· · · /

Primary Outcome:

The comparison of change from Baseline of the MSC score during Period I indicated a statistically significant difference between levocetirizine 5 mg and montelukast 10 mg and also between levocetirizine 5 mg.

ANCOVA on Period I	Difference LCTZ <i>vs.</i> MLKT (ITT population)	Difference LCTZ vs. PBO (ITT population)		
Adjusted Mean [95% CI]	-2.18 [-3.35;-1.01]	-2.22 [-3.51;-0.92]		
p-value	<0.001	<0.001		
Publication Reference (s) based on the study: None				
Report Date: 13-Dec-2007				