CLINICAL STUDY REPORT SYNOPSIS: UP0016

UCD, IIIC.	Individual study table referring to part of the dossier: Not applicable	(For National Authority Use Only)
Name of finished product: CIMZIA [®]	Volume: Not applicable	Variati
Name of active ingredient: Certolizumab pegol	Page: Not applicable	m ^{sions} .
Title of study: A Multicenter, Certolizumab Pegol in the Brea (Certolizumab Pegol)	Postmarketing Study to Evaluat ast Milk of Mothers Receiving 7	e the Concentration of Freatment with CIMZIA [®]
Investigators: 6 Investigators	enrolled mothers in this study	and
Study sites: 6 sites enrolled at	least 1 mother in this study	all ^{OL}
Publications (references): No	ne at the time of reporting	0.
First subject enrolled: 08 Sep	2014 CTED oitail Phas	e 1b
Last subject completed: 12 Ja Objectives: The primary objectives: The primary objectives: CZP) in https://www.czp.	tives of this clinical study were uman breast milk and to calcula	to determine the concentrations of te the daily infant dose of maternal
Last subject completed: 12 Ja Objectives: The primary objectives: The primary objectives: CZP) in http://www.czp.	tives of this clinical study were uman breast milk and to calcula	to determine the concentrations of te the daily infant dose of maternal

Name of company: UCB, Inc.	Individual study table referring to part of the dossier:	(For National Authority Use Only)
	Not applicable	
Name of finished product: CIMZIA [®]	Volume : Not applicable	Xi
Name of active ingredient: Certolizumab pegol	Page: Not applicable	Or Variat
For qualifying subjects, the stud a 4-week mature breast milk Sat CZP every 2 weeks [Q2W] or e contact (via remote contact) 5 w	ly consisted of a Screening Period mpling Period (based on whether t very 4 weeks [Q4W], respectively veeks (±5 days) after the final same	of up to 10 weeks, a 2-week or the mother was dosing with), and a Safety Follow-Up ple was obtained.
Screening Period: Up to 10 we informed consent to participate applicable) and ended immediat	eks duration. This period commer (including paternal consent accord ely prior to collection of the first s	aced with the subject's ling to local regulations, where sample.
Sampling Period: 2 to 4 weeks starting at least 6 weeks after de of CZP (ie. at least the third dos	duration based on the CZP dosing livery and once the subject was on e of CZP).	g regimen (Q2W or Q4W), n an established dosing regimen
Safety Follow-Up Period: 5 we	eeks (±5 days) after the final samp	le was obtained.
The end of the study was define (and/or her infant[s]) in the stud	d as the date of the last follow-up y.	visit/contact of the last subject
The concentration of CZP was r (electrochemiluminescence; low concentrations of total PEG (ie, determined by a validated assay of 0.5µg/mL.	neasured using a sensitive validate ver limit of quantification [LLOQ] PEG present as intact CZP or in d using nuclear magnetic resonance	ed immunoassay method =0.032µg/mL). The leconjugated form) was e spectroscopy with an LLOQ
Number of subjects (planned screened in order to enroll 16 m completed the study. Eighteen n 17 infants (consisting of all infa Infants (SS-I). Note that 1 moth Treatment-emergent adverse evincluded in the SS-I. Seventeen (PK-PPS)	and analyzed): Approximately 25 others. A total of 19 mothers were nothers were included in the Safet nts of mothers in the SS-M) were er was a screen failure (discontinu ent [TEAE]), but was included in mothers were included in the Phan	i mothers were planned to be e screened and 17 mothers y Set for Mothers (SS-M) and included in the Safety Set for ation due to a the SS-M; her infant was not rmacokinetic Per-Protocol Set
Diagnosis and main criteria fo age who were being treated with Screening Visit (Visit 1). Subject gestation) and agreed to use onl during the Sampling Period. The made independently from and p Visit 2 (just prior to sampling) t an established dosing regimen of	or inclusion: This study enrolled f n CZP per the current approved pricts must have delivered term infan y the emollient or nipple cream price decision to treat with CZP or to rior to the subject consenting to path he subjects must have been at least of CZP (at least the third dose of C	Temale subjects ≥ 18 years of escribing information at the ts(s) (at least 37 weeks ovided by the Sponsor for use breastfeed must have been articipate in this study. At t 6 weeks postpartum and on ZP since starting/restarting

UCB, Inc.	Individual study table referring to part of the dossier:	(For National Authority Use Only)
	Not applicable	
Name of finished product: CIMZIA [®]	Volume: Not applicable	, in the second s
Name of active ingredient: Certolizumab pegol	Page: Not applicable	or valio
CZP). Subjects were not permitted to biological therapeutic agent, or CZP, within 5 half-lives prior to QuantiFERON [®] -tuberculosis (7 TB infection, at high risk of acc	enroll in the study if they had rece other anti- tumor necrosis factor a o obtaining the first sample; had a FB) GOLD In-Tube test at Screen quiring TB infection, or latent TB	ived treatment with any gents with the exception of positive or indeterminate ng or had a known infection.
Test product: This study only accordance with their treating provided by the Sponsor.	included women who were receiv hysician prior to participating in t	ing treatment with CZP in he study. The CZP was not
Duration of treatment: The to depending on whether the subject duration of participation was ap Reference therapy: None	tal duration of subject participation ect was on a CZP Q2W or Q4W do pproximately 19 weeks.	n in this study was variable, osing regimen; the maximum
Criteria for evaluation:		
Pharmacokinetics: The prima:	ry pharmacokinetic (PK) variables	were:
• The concentration of CZP i		
regimen of CZP on Day 0 (of CZP, and on Days 2, 4, 6 CZP administration on Day concentration of CZP in bre on the same day of the next	predose) of the Sampling Period, j predose) of the Sampling Period, j 5, 8, 10, 12, and 14 (predose if Q2) 0. In addition, in mothers on a C2 east milk was also evaluated on or scheduled administration of CZP)	ers on an established dosing ust prior to next scheduled dose W dosing), relative to ZP Q4W dosing regimen, the about Day 28 (ie, prior to and b.
 regimen of CZP on Day 0 (of CZP, and on Days 2, 4, 6 CZP administration on Day concentration of CZP in bre on the same day of the next The calculated daily infant (predose if Q2W dosing), a average daily infant dose (d) 	the breast milk of lactating moth predose) of the Sampling Period, j 5, 8, 10, 12, and 14 (predose if Q2V 0. In addition, in mothers on a C2 east milk was also evaluated on or scheduled administration of CZP) dose of CZP in breast milk on Day nd on or about Day 28 (predose) (a letermined from the dosing interval	ers on an established dosing ust prior to next scheduled dose W dosing), relative to ZP Q4W dosing regimen, the about Day 28 (ie, prior to and). vs 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 as applicable) and the estimated l; 14 or 28 days).
 regimen of CZP on Day 0 (of CZP, and on Days 2, 4, 6 CZP administration on Day concentration of CZP in bre on the same day of the next The calculated daily infant (predose if Q2W dosing), a average daily infant dose (d The exploratory PK variable wa (predose), and on Days 2, 4, 6, 	the breast milk of lactating moth predose) of the Sampling Period, j 5, 8, 10, 12, and 14 (predose if Q2) 0. In addition, in mothers on a C2 east milk was also evaluated on or scheduled administration of CZP) dose of CZP in breast milk on Day nd on or about Day 28 (predose) (a letermined from the dosing interva as the concentration of total PEG i 8, 10, 12, and 14, and on or about	ers on an established dosing ust prior to next scheduled dose W dosing), relative to 2P Q4W dosing regimen, the about Day 28 (ie, prior to and 0. vs 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 as applicable) and the estimated l; 14 or 28 days). n the breast milk on Day 0 Day 28 (as applicable).
 regimen of CZP on Day 0 (of CZP, and on Days 2, 4, 6 CZP administration on Day concentration of CZP in bre on the same day of the next The calculated daily infant (predose if Q2W dosing), a average daily infant dose (d The exploratory PK variable wa (predose), and on Days 2, 4, 6, Safety: The safety variables we 	predose) of the Sampling Period, j 5, 8, 10, 12, and 14 (predose if Q2) 0. In addition, in mothers on a C2 east milk was also evaluated on or scheduled administration of CZP) dose of CZP in breast milk on Day nd on or about Day 28 (predose) (a letermined from the dosing interva as the concentration of total PEG i 8, 10, 12, and 14, and on or about ere:	ers on an established dosing ust prior to next scheduled dose W dosing), relative to 2P Q4W dosing regimen, the about Day 28 (ie, prior to and 0. vs 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 as applicable) and the estimated 1; 14 or 28 days). n the breast milk on Day 0 Day 28 (as applicable).
 regimen of CZP on Day 0 (of CZP, and on Days 2, 4, 6 CZP administration on Day concentration of CZP in bre on the same day of the next The calculated daily infant (predose if Q2W dosing), a average daily infant dose (d The exploratory PK variable wa (predose), and on Days 2, 4, 6, Safety: The safety variables was TEAEs of the mother from 	the breast milk of lactating moth predose) of the Sampling Period, j 5, 8, 10, 12, and 14 (predose if Q2) 0. In addition, in mothers on a C2 east milk was also evaluated on or scheduled administration of CZP) dose of CZP in breast milk on Day nd on or about Day 28 (predose) (a letermined from the dosing interva as the concentration of total PEG i 8, 10, 12, and 14, and on or about ere:	ers on an established dosing ust prior to next scheduled dose W dosing), relative to ZP Q4W dosing regimen, the about Day 28 (ie, prior to and). vs 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14 as applicable) and the estimated l; 14 or 28 days). n the breast milk on Day 0 Day 28 (as applicable).

ennieur study report	contonizantae pegor	
Name of company: UCB, Inc.	Individual study table referring to part of the dossier:	(For National Authority Use Only)
	Not applicable	
Name of finished product: CIMZIA [®]	Volume : Not applicable	tions
Name of active ingredient: Certolizumab pegol	Page: Not applicable	orvatio
Statistical methods: In general arithmetic mean, standard devia variables and frequency tables of PEG concentrations, in general coefficient of variation, 95% co minimum, and maximum, unles on the observed values.	l, summary statistics (n [number of ation (SD), median, minimum, and for qualitative data were presented summary statistics included geom onfidence intervals, arithmetic mea ss otherwise specified. All summar	f available measurements], I maximum) for quantitative I. For CZP and hetric mean, geometric in, arithmetic SD, median, ries of PK variables were based
Baseline for all assessments wa Period. The SS-M consisted of all parti SS-I consisted of all infants of r appropriate. The Pharmacokine with at least 1 valid postdose m consisted of all subjects with a important protocol deviations a PK variables used the PK-PPS. and PKS-M analysis sets were were produced. No inferential statistical analysi If milk sampling measurements for colsulation of the derived at	s defined as the predose measured cipating mothers who had received mothers in the SS-M. Safety varial tic Set for Mothers (PKS-M) cons easurement of CZP concentration valid CZP concentration measurer ffecting the primary variable. The Supportive summaries used the P identical; therefore, no summaries is of the PK variables was planned were deemed to be below the lim	hent at Day 0 of the Sampling d at least 1 dose of CZP. The bles used the SS-M and SS-I as isted of all subjects in the SS-M in breast milk. The PPK-PPS nent in breast milk with no primary PK and exploratory KS-M. However, the PK-PPS using the PKS-M analysis sets l. it of quantification (BLQ), then
for calculation of the derived st and summary statistics were ca above the LLOQ. If this was no presented. The amount of CZP that the inf Day 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 14, a consumption for a fully breastfor For the calculation of PK paran procedures for plasma concentr	atistics this sample result was set t localed if at least two-thirds of the ot the case, only median, minimum ant may potentially have consume nd on or about Day 28 based on the ed 2-month-old infant of 150mL/k neters, concentrations that were Bl ations, ie, replaced by 0 before tma	to half the LLOQ. Descriptive e values on a given day were a, and maximum were ed daily was calculated on he standardized mean milk g/day. LQ were treated per standard a and treated as missing after

 t_{max} . For the calculation of the amount/dose, BLQ concentrations were replaced by 0.

The following PK parameters were computed for CZP, if possible:

- AUC_{τ}: Area under the curve over a dosing interval (14 or 28 days)
- C_{av} : Average concentration over a dosing interval, equal to Cav=AUCt/t

THIS

9,

Name of company: UCB, Inc.	Individual study table referring to part of the dossier:	(For National Authority Use Only)	~
	Not applicable		eleo.
Name of finished product:	Volume: Not applicable		Sthe
CIMZIA®		jjo	
Name of active ingredient:	Page: Not applicable	13/10	
Certolizumab pegol		O ^r	
• C _{max} : Maximum observed d	rug concentration	ions	
• t_{max} : Time of the maximum	observed concentration	tenst	
• λ_z : First-order terminal elimination rate constant, calculated from a semi-log plot of the milk concentration vs time curve (Q4W dosing regimen only)			
• $t_{1/2}$: First-order terminal elimination half-life, calculated as 0.693/ λ z			
The estimated average daily inf infant may potentially consume	ant dose that corresponds to the av daily over the dosing interval was	rerage amount of CZP that the calculated.	
Adverse events in this study we had received at least 1 administ mother and infant from the time	re considered as TEAEs if they we ration of CZP. Treatment-emerger of informed maternal consent thr	ere identified after the mother at AEs were captured for both ough to the Safety Follow-Up.	

Summary and conclusions:

Subject disposition: A total of 19 mothers entered the Screening Period and 17 mothers completed the Screening Period. One mother was prescribed CZP prior to entering the Screening Period, but never received any doses of CZP and was therefore, not eligible for the study. One mother discontinued during the Screening Period due to a TEAE of herpes zoster; this mother was included in the SS-M, but her infant was not included in the SS-I. No mothers were rescreened. All 17 mothers who completed the Screening Period, entered and also completed the Sampling Period. All 17 mothers completed Safety Follow-Up.

Name of company: UCB, Inc.	Individual study table referring to part of the dossier: Not applicable	(For National Authority Use Only)	ereot
Name of finished product: CIMZIA [®]	Volume: Not applicable	illo and a second s	15 the
Name of active ingredient: Certolizumab pegol	Page: Not applicable	OTVatio	
Pharmacokinetic results:		cions	
• The breast milk concentration of CZP was low or BLO at all time points during the study; the			

- The breast milk concentration of CZP was low or BLQ at all time points during the study; the highest concentration measured at any time point was 0.0758µg/mL (at Day 6).
 - Only 3 mothers had breast milk concentrations above the LLOQ (0.032µg/mL) at all time points during the study.
 - 56% of all samples were BLQ.
- The estimated average daily infant dose of CZP potentially ingested over the dosing interval was minimal (median: 0.003503mg/kg/day; range: 0 to 0.0104mg/kg/day).
- The calculated daily amount of CZP potentially ingested by the infant was low or 0 at all time points during the study; the highest calculated amount in any infant was 0.0114mg/kg/day (at Day 6).
- There was no difference in the PK parameters by subgroup analysis (mother's indication or supplemental nutrition [yes/no]).
- **Safety results:** The safety results in the mothers were in line with the known safety profile of CZP and there were no concerning AEs reported in the infants during this study.
- Treatment-emergent AEs were reported in 10 mothers (55.6%) and were most commonly reported in the SOC of Infections and infestations (6 mothers [33.3%]). The most commonly reported FEAEs (by PT) were upper respiratory tract infection and headache (2 mothers [11.1%] each).
- Treatment-emergent AEs were reported in 8 infants (47.1%) and were most commonly reported in the SOC of Infections and infestations (7 infants [41.2%]). The most commonly reported TEAEs (by PT) were nasopharyngitis (4 infants [23.5%]) and upper respiratory tract infection (3 infants [17.6%]).
- In the mothers, most TEAEs reported were mild or moderate in intensity. One mother reported a severe TEAE of breast abscess that was also an SAE; in the infants, all TEAEs reported were mild or moderate in intensity and no TEAEs were reported that were severe in

•

;15 doct

Name of company: UCB, Inc.	Individual study table referring to part of the dossier: Not applicable	(For National Authority Use Only)	, co
Name of finished product: CIMZIA [®]	Volume : Not applicable		sthe
Name of active ingredient:	Page: Not applicable	Vallo-	

intensity.

- Four mothers (22.2%) reported drug-related TEAEs and the most commonly reported drug-related TEAE (by PT) was upper respiratory tract infection (2 mothers [11.1%]). In the infants, 1 TEAE of nasopharyngitis (mild in intensity) was considered drug related per the Investigator.
- One mother (5.6%) reported an SAE of breast abscess during the study, and no SAEs were reported in the infants during the study.
- One mother (5.6%) discontinued due to a TEAE (herpes zoster) during the Screening Period.
- No deaths and no TEAEs of interest were reported during this study.
- There were no clinically relevant concerns identified for vital sign parameters.
- There were no abnormalities and complications reported for any of the pregnancies.

Conclusions:

- No to minimal transfer of CZP from the plasma to breast milk was observed. In addition, due to the low bioavailability of monoclonal antibodies after oral administration (related to the proteolytic activity and acidic environment in the infant's stomach), the estimated amount of CZP ingested by the infant via breast milk is not expected to be of clinical relevance.
- •
- The safety profile of the mothers was consistent with the known safety profile of CZP; the infants of mothers exposed to CZP had a safety profile consisting of clinical events similar to those occurring in an untreated population of similar age.

Report date: 17 May 2016